







UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/644,371	08/23/2000	George E. Smith	108298529US	1295
25096	7590 07/23/2002			
PERKINS COIE LLP PATENT-SEA P.O. BOX 1247			EXAMINER	
			POLLARD, STEVEN M	
SEATTLE, WA 98111-1247			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3727	
			DATE MAIL ED: 07/23/2002	DATE MAIL ED: 07/23/2002

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

09/644,371 Office Action Summary

Application No. Applicant(s)

Smith

Examiner

Steven Pollard

Art Unit 3727



	The MAILING DATE of this communication appears	on the cover sheet with the correspondence address		
	for Reply			
THE N	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.			
mailing	date of this communication.	no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the		
- If NO p - Failure - Any re	period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply at to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the ply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	nd will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. e application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).		
Status				
1) 🗆	Responsive to communication(s) filed on			
2a) 🗌	This action is FINAL . 2b) 💢 This action	on is non-final.		
3) 🗌	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11; 453 O.G. 213.			
Disposi	tion of Claims			
4) 🗶	Claim(s) 1-72	is/are pending in the application.		
4	la) Of the above, claim(s)	is/are withdrawn from consideration.		
5) 🗆	Claim(s)	is/are allowed.		
6) 🗆	Claim(s)	is/are rejected.		
7) 🗆	Claim(s)	is/are objected to.		
8) 💢	Claims <u>1-72</u>	are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.		
Applica	tion Papers			
9) 🗌	The specification is objected to by the Examiner.			
10)	The drawing(s) filed on is/are	a) \square accepted or b) \square objected to by the Examiner.		
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the d			
11)) ☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) ☐ approved b) ☐ disapproved by the Examine			
	If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply t	o this Office action.		
12)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the Exami	ner.		
•	under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120			
13)	Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign pr	iority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).		
a) 🗆	☐ All b)☐ Some* c)☐ None of:			
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents hav	e been received.		
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents hav			
	 Copies of the certified copies of the priority de application from the International Burea ee the attached detailed Office action for a list of the 	au (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).		
14) 🗆	Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic			
	The translation of the foreign language provisiona			
15)	Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic			
Attachm				
_	otice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s).		
2) No	otice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)		
3) 🗌 Int	formation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s).	6) Other:		

Application/Control Number: 09/644,371

Art Unit: 3727

1. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:

I. Claims 1 - 36, drawn to a vessel, classified in class 220, subclass 662.

II. Claims 37 - 72, drawn to a method of observing chemicals, classified in class 222,

subclass?.

2. The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

Inventions I and II are related as product and process of use. The inventions can be shown to be

distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) the process for using the product as

claimed can be practiced with another materially different product or (2) the product as claimed

can be used in a materially different process of using that product (MPEP § 806.05(h)). In the

instant case the product as claimed can be used in a materially different process such as boiling

water.

3. Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a

separate status in the art as shown by their different classification, restriction for examination

purposes as indicated is proper.

4. Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an

election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR

1.143).

Steven M. Pollard

7/22/02

Steven Pollard Primary Examiner

Steven my fallard

Page 2